

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:097511



(105)

**CRWP-7535-2025
Decided on : 01.08.2025**

Najma and another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Sarfaraj Anjum Mor, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Addl.AG, Haryana.

Mr. Khalid Tauru, Advocate for respondent Nos.4 & 5.

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

1. Present criminal writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 & 3 to provide adequate protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

2. The relevant of status report filed by learned State counsel on behalf of the State of Haryana reads thus:-

“4. That during the course of enquiry, private respondent no. (4,5,7,9,10,12) were also joined in the enquiry proceedings and they have also got recorded their statements, in which they have specifically contended that the petitioners have solemnized marriage at their free will and they are at liberty to reside anywhere and they (respondent no. 4 to 12) will not create any trouble and problem for them. They can reside anywhere at their wishes. The private respondents also stated that they will abide by the order passed by the Hon'ble High Court in the present petition. The copies of statement of private respondents are annexed as Annexure R-3 & R-8.

5. That it is also pertinent to mention here that after completion of enquiry, it was found that petitioner no. 1 and 2 have categorically stated that they have solemnized marriage at their free will and they have no apprehending danger from the private respondent no. 4 to 12. They are residing at rants house in Firojpur Jhirka. They have no threat to their lives and liberty. It is submitted that the private respondent no. 6,8,11 named Yunus, Arshad, Mukeem were not found present at their houses as they are away for their livelihood. However, their family members have specifically contended that they will not create any danger to the lives and liberty to the petitioners. Thereafter, an enquiry report was prepared by investigating officer, Police Station, Firojpur Jhirka, which was duly approved by the concerned SHO, Firojpur Jhirka. A copy of said enquiry report is annexed as Annexure R-9.

6. That the investigating officer has conducted the investigation of the present case fairly, properly, impartially, expeditiously and meticulously.

7. That it is also significant to mention here that the allegations of danger were not substantiated during the course of enquiry as the petitioners are residing at their house happily without any danger and problem.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petition may be disposed as having been rendered infructuous, in view of the above stance of the State.

4. Ordered accordingly.

August 01, 2025
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No