



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109 (4)

CWP-6497-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.02.2025

M/s Jai Maa Fruit Company

...Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present:- Mr. S.D. Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners.
(through video conferencing).

Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate,
for the respondents-U.T. Chandigarh.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks and is permitted to withdraw the instant writ petition, but with liberty to avail the alternative remedy, for therebys, it making a challenge to the impugned order.
2. In case the statutory appeal is time barred, thereupon, on an application seeking condonation of delay, as cast under the Limitation Act, being filed along with statutory appeal, initially thereupons, a reasoned speaking order shall be made but with a week from the date of its preferment, whereafter the statutory appeal shall be registered. Subsequently, the statutory appeal is directed to be decided through a reasoned speaking order being made thereons. However, all the supra be ensured to be done, within 45 days from today, but after hearing all the



affected/aggrieved persons/parties concerned.

3. All pending application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

February 28, 2025
harish

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No