

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:167192



**CWP No. 21879-2022(O&M)
Date of Decision:02.12.2025**

Vijay

....Petitioner

VS.

Haryana Staff Selection Commission and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL


Present: Mr. Sandeep Dhull, Advocate for
Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Ashok Kumar Khubbar, Addl. A.G., Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to grant him additional four marks of Graduation.

2. The petitioner pursuant to advertisement No.4/2020 dated 30.12.2020 applied for the post of Constable. In the advertisement, it was underscored that there would be four additional marks for graduate degree in any stream from a recognized university. The petitioner along with application form uploaded his Marksheet. He disclosed total marks scored in graduation. The relevant extracts of application are reproduced below:-



Education	University/ Board Name	Date of Declaration of Result	Date of Marksheet	Marks obtained	Total Marks	Percentage
Class 10th (Mandator y)- Class 10th (General)	Haryana Board of Education	31 March 2010	14 May 2010	378.00	600	63.00
12th/10+2- 12th/10+2 (Science)	Central Board of Secondary Education	30 April 2014	28 May 2014	362.00	500	72.40
Diploma- Diploma in Computer application	-	30 May 2017	30 May 2017	271.00	400	67.75
Graduation other	Kurukshetra University	20 November 2020	14 December 2020	346.00	530	65.28

3. He passed requisite tests, however, was not selected. He was not awarded four marks of graduation. If he is awarded four marks of graduation, his total marks would be more than cut off.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner’s claim is squarely covered by judgment of this Court in **Rahul Dhanda vs. State of Haryana , 2025 SCC Online P&H 5311.**

5. Learned State counsel expressed his inability to controvert applicability of said judgment to instant case, however, states that Commission may be granted liberty to compare marks of petitioner with cut off marks.

6. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands disposed of in terms of judgment in **Rahul Dhanda (Supra)** with aforesaid liberty to the respondents.

7. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

02.12.2025
paramjit

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	
Whether reportable:		No