



225

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37165-2025

Date of Decision: 28.07.2025

Khushbhinder Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Abhishek Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravneet Singh Joshi, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Shiv K. Rana, Advocate
for the complainant.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.56 dated 25.06.2025, registered under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 3(5) of BNS (Section 117(2) of BNS added later on), at Police Station Kotfatta, District Bathinda.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that except Section 118(1) of BNS, all the offences are bailable in nature. Even the injuries were suffered in the incident by both the sides. He further contends that co-accused, namely, Jagjit Singh alias Jaggi and Babbi Singh alias Sukhwinder Singh have already been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Bathinda (Annexure P-3). He further contends that now, the parties have amicably resolved all their disputes and the custody of the petitioner may not be required.

3. On the other hand, short reply by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Rural, Bathinda has been filed on behalf of the respondent-State in Court today and the same is taken on record. Learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant submits that the matter has been resolved between the parties and he has no objection, in case the present petition is allowed by this Court.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Since the parties have resolved all their disputes and have compromised with each other, no purpose will be achieved by sending the petitioner behind bars.

7. Without commenting any further on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is granted concession of anticipatory bail, subject to the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the petitioner to join investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and he shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

28.07.2025
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No