


2025:PHHC:100757-DB



CWP-19528-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.08.2025

Santosh

...Petitioner

Vs.

Union Territory of Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR**

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

1. The husband of the petitioner was a street vendor in Sector-15, Chandigarh.
2. After the death of her husband, the petitioner moved an application seeking transfer of vending license in her favour. Since such claim has not been considered, the petitioner is before this Court.
3. Learned counsel for the administration contends that there are huge pending dues against the husband of the petitioner. It is also submitted that the petitioner's prayer for shifting her vending license to a different sector is also not admissible.
4. Faced with the above objection, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would deposit all outstanding dues and would be satisfied if the license is restored in her name over the same vending area which was earlier in the name of her husband.

5. In that view of the matter, we permit the petitioner to approach 3rd respondent along with an undertaking to deposit the amount in terms of the directions of the committee. If such a claim is raised before 3rd respondent, the same shall be accorded consideration in accordance with law, in the next meeting which is to be held for the purpose or immediately thereafter.

6. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

7. All pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA)
JUDGE

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

06.08.2025

rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable?	:	Yes/No