

2025:PHHC:106939



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

243

CRM M-36954-2025

Date of Decision:18.08.2025

Hardeep Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Shubham Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Aminder Singh, Advocate, for the complainant.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J.

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of the B.N.S.S., 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail in case FIR No.155 dated 18.07.2024 registered under Sections 408 and 420 of IPC registered at Police Station City Sangrur, Sangrur.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had levelled serious allegations of physical and sexual harassment against Ashish Arora, Vijay Arora and others and an e-mail was sent by the petitioner on 24th April 2024. Even, a CCTV footage was shown to the management in this regard. Ultimately, when no action was taken, the petitioner had to file a criminal complaint against Ashish Arora, Vijay Arora and others. He next contends that it is a counter blast to the complaint launched by the

complainant and the present FIR has been registered by the complainant by concocting a false story. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 23.04.2025 and is in custody since then. He further contends that no witness has been examined so far and the custody of the petitioner will not serve any purpose.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that there are serious allegations against the petitioner and the petitioner is not entitled for the concession of regular bail.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

4. The petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last four months and after completion of investigation, the *challan* has been presented against him but no witness has been examined so far.

5. In view of the above, without commenting any further on the merits, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/CJM concerned.

18.08.2025

amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No