



“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely involved by concocting a false story and as per the case set up by the prosecution, the petitioner had allegedly exhorted the other accused to cause injuries to the injured in the present case. She further contends that except the said role, no injury has been attributed to the present petitioner. Learned counsel has also relied upon an order (Annexure P-2) passed by this Court, whereby the concession of interim anticipatory bail has been granted to co-accused Akashdeep Singh by this Court in CRMM-26327-2025 ”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated the submissions and further submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation may not be required.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner is involved in a serious crime and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

6. In view of the above statement made by learned State counsel, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 15.07.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

7. Pending application(s) stand(s) also disposed of.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

07.08.2025
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No