

2025:PHHC:096322



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

211

CWP-47-2021 (O & M)
Date of decision: 30.07.2025

Gurdeep Singh Cheema

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Petitioner in person.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Barjesh Mittal, Advocate,
for respondent No.3. (through hybrid mode)

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner, who is appearing in person, accepts the fact as stated by learned State counsel that the leave encashment has since been paid though in four installments, however, no decision with regard to return of the amount charged by way of penalty for retaining govt. accommodation has been taken, which he prays, may be directed to be done in a time bound manner.

2. Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the needful shall be done within a period of 03 months, which satisfies the learned counsel opposite.

3. Disposed of accordingly. However, if the petitioner is found entitled, the benefit be released forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders

are adverse to him, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to him and shall contain reasons, whereupon he shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

30.07.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No