



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

112

CRWP-7449-2025
Decided on: 14.07.2025

Rajwinder Kaur and anotherPetitioners
Versus
State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. R.S Dhillon, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.
(appeared on advance notice)

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. By filing present petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners seek necessary protection of their lives and personal liberty in view of the fact that **they are living in a live-in relationship** and are under eminent threats at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

PARTICULARS OF PETITIONERS:

Petitioner No.	Name & parantage	DoB or Age
1.	Rajwinder Kaur d/o Jasvir Singh	20 years
2.	Abhishek Singh s/o Harjinder Singh	20 years

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that although both petitioners are major, petitioner No.2 is not of marriageable age. The petitioners are living in a live-in relationship against the wishes of their family members, who are allegedly threatening them and interfering in their personal lives. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant

**CRWP-7449-2025****2**

petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 08.07.2025 (Annexure P-3), wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State.

On instructions from ASI Nachattar Singh, learned State counsel submits that statements of the parents of petitioner No. 1 have already been recorded, wherein they stated that they do not have any objection to the relationship of the petitioners.

5. However, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the apprehension of threat still persists.

6. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-The Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot to look into the representation dated 08.07.2025 (Annexure P-3), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

7. However, this direction will not validate the live-in status between the petitioners and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

July 14, 2025
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**