

2025:PHHC:094741



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

112

CWP-19330-2025 (O & M)
Date of decision: 29.07.2025

Roop Lal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate and
Ms. Chandanpreet Kaur Ahluwalia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel submits that allegation against the petitioner is of having not issued tickets worth Rs.6/- to 2 passengers, regarding this the explanation rendered before the authorities was that they were *Nihang Sikhs*, who had claimed to have been exempted from purchasing the same, for such an alleged misconduct, major penalty of stoppage of two annual increments with cumulative effect has been imposed and also that the order dated 10.05.2024 passed in CWP-21840-2022 has not been complied with in letter and spirit, as none of the grounds or the aforesaid submissions taken note of. On the previous occasion, his appeal was rejected on the ground of delay, vide order dated 23.10.2018, against which CWP-10776-2019 was filed wherein on instructions, learned State counsel had stated that the fresh order, in accordance with law, shall be

passed, however, again the same was rejected on the ground of delay, vide order dated 19.06.2019. He prays for re-consideration of the matter taking into consideration the aforesaid submissions and grounds, in a time bound manner.

2. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions from Sh. Jasvir Singh, Senior Assistant, office of Director State Transport, states that the respondents would not be averse to having a relook at the matter and decide afresh, taking note of the aforesaid submissions and grounds taken in appeal, within a period of 4 months, uninfluenced by the order(s) impugned in the present case, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

3. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioner is found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to him and shall contain reasons, whereupon they shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

29.07.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No