

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:154462



232

**CRM-M-36540-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 10.11.2025.**

Sarabjit Singh @ Sabha

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Mr. R.S. Bhullar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrit Pal Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.101 dated 08.04.2023, under Sections 21, 27, 29 of the NDPS Act and Sections 198 and 207 of MV Act, registered at Police Station STF SAS Nagar, District SAS Nagar.

As per prosecution case, 300 grams of heroin were recovered from the possession of co-accused, namely Satnam Singh @ Satta and Dilpreet Singh @ Dil. The petitioner was nominated as an accused in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement of the said co-accused, who stated that they both used to supply the heroin as per the directions of the petitioner, who used to pay them as per supply and also stated that the recovered heroin was also given to them by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that no recovery had been effected from the petitioner and he is not connected with the offence in the present case. The petitioner has not been named in the FIR and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He was nominated as an accused in this case on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Satnam Singh @ Satta and Dilpreet Singh @ Dil, which is not admissible in law and as trial of the case is likely to take time, therefore, the petitioner be granted concession of regular bail.

Learned State counsel has opposed the petition and submitted that the petitioner is actively involved in the alleged offence. He has been specifically named in the disclosure statement of co-accused and as such he is not entitled to the concession of bail.

Heard.

As per the allegations, the name of the petitioner surfaced in the present case, only on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused, namely, Satnam Singh @ Satta and Dilpreet Singh @ Dil. Except the disclosure statement, there is no material on record to connect the petitioner with the offence in question. Recovery had already been effected in the present case and nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner. As per status report, out of 34 witnesses only 04 witness have been examined. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody since 02 years, 04 months and 04 days. Conclusion of trial is likely to take time, so no useful purpose would be served by further detaining the petitioner behind the bars.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to regular bail, on furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of concerned learned trial Judge/Chief

Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

However, nothing observed herein above shall be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. The observations recorded above are only for the purpose of deciding the present bail petition.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

10.11.2025.

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No