



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207-2

CRM-M-36814 of 2025

Date of decision: 18.07.2025

Dharwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. S. S. Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Manvir Singh Toor, AAG, Punjab.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of BNSS of 2023 in case FIR No.75 dated 03.06.2025, under Sections 309(4) and 3(5) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Phase-11, District Mohali, Punjab.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the prosecution is that petitioner at knife point had robbed the complainant of Rs.80 Thousand. However, FIR was registered on 03.06.2025. It is stated that on 03.06.2025, complainant recognized both the accused and thereafter, both were arrested and since then they are in custody.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that complainant has compromised with the petitioner and has sworn affidavit which is annexed herewith as Annexure P-2. He further submits that as per custody certificate, petitioner is in custody for the last 1 month and 12 days. Trial is likely to take time for its conclusion and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, therefore, petitioner be released on regular bail.
4. Notice of motion.



5. Mr. Manvir Singh Toor, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate in the Court today, which is taken on record. As per custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for 01 month and 12 days.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. In view of the above submissions of learned counsel for the parties and considering the custody period undergone by the petitioner and the fact that compromise has been effected between both the parties, the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of their bail.

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

18.07.2025
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No