



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

119

**FAO-6100-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 02.12.2025**

Shiromani Gurdwara Prabandhak Committee Appellant

versus

Narinder Singh and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Hardeep Singh, Advocate
for the appellant.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. SGPC in appeal.
2. Petition was preferred before Sikh Gurudwara Judicial Commission, Amritsar by SGPC claiming that Gurdwara Sri Guru Singh Sabha Mansa is a notified Gurdwara. Respondents are office bearers of the Committee managing the affairs of Gurdwara. Gurdwara Sahib has shops in the building which are occupied by tenants. There was a written compromise effected between the tenants and the Local Gurdwara Prabandhak Committee, Mansa during *lis* pending before Civil Judge (Sr. Division), Mansa. As per the compromise, the tenants were to be delivered possession of the tenanted shops after reconstruction of the building. The respondents, i.e. office bearers failed to give effect to the compromise which led to *lis* between the parties. Huge amount has been spent on the *lis* which has not only led to financial loss, but also loss of reputation of the petitioner-Committee.

By way of petition, SGPC prayed that the respondents be removed from



Gurdwara Committee and management of Gurdwara Sahib.

3. Petition was contested by the respondents. Compromise dated 24.02.2006 with the shopkeepers was denied. Commissioner after analysing the evidence threadbare found that the compromise dated 24.02.2006 as alleged in the petition has not been placed on record, which can lead to the conclusion that the respondents are guilty of any malfeasance, misfeasance, non-feasance, breach of trust and neglect of duty.

4. Counsel for the appellant is not in a position to dispute the factual situation that compromise dated 24.02.2006 on the basis of which the petition was maintained could not be produced.

5. In view thereof, this Court finds no reason to interfere in finding of fact recorded by the Commissioner.

6. Finding no merits in the present appeal, the same is ordered to be dismissed.

7. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

02.12.2025

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No