



CRM-M-36791-2025

-1-

**131 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36791-2025

Date of Decision: 15.07.2025

Aarti Sindhu

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. G.C.Shahpuri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of the impugned order dated 23.10.2024 passed by learned JMIC, Jagadhri, vide which bail of the petitioner has been cancelled, his bail bonds and surety bonds were forfeited and non-bailable warrants were issued against the petitioner in a case FIR No.831, dated 11.10.2021 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 506, 120-B IPC, Police Station City Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 19.01.2023 and thereafter, she was regularly appearing before the trial Court. He submits that as the petitioner was falsely implicated in some other case in the same Police Station, she could not appear before the trial Court on 23.10.2024, her bail was cancelled and bail/surety bonds were forfeited to the State and she was ordered to be summoned through non-bailable warrants vide order dated 23.10.2024. He submits that absence of the petitioner was totally unintentional and due to the circumstances beyond her control. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to appear before the



trial Court and abide by all the terms and conditions, if any imposed by this Court.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and has opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. He has submitted that learned trial Court has rightly cancelled the bail of the petitioner, as she intentionally did not appear before it, on the date fixed.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is evident that due to non-appearance of the petitioner on 23.10.2024, her bail was cancelled and her bail bonds/surety bonds were forfeited to the State and she was ordered to be summoned through non-bailable warrants. The reason for non-appearance before the Court on the date fixed, as given by the petitioner is her false implication in another case. The Court without going into the authenticity of the ground taken for the absence of the petitioner, deems it appropriate to direct the petitioner to appear before the Court concerned to face the trial in the present case. In these circumstances, when the petitioner is ready to join the trial and face the proceedings, the order dated 23.10.2024 is set aside subject to payment of Rs.10,000/- as costs to be paid to **Day Care Centre for Elderly Disabled in home for Old & Destitute People, Sector-15, Chandigarh** by the petitioner within period of five days from today.

6. The petitioner is directed to appear before the trial Court within a period of seven days from today and file an appropriate application alongwith receipt of abovesaid costs and the trial Court would grant her bail

till the disposal of the case on her furnishing fresh bail/surety bonds to its satisfaction. The petitioner will have protection from arrest for a period of seven days from today. The trial Court is free to impose any condition on the petitioner while admitting her to bail.

7. Needless to say that in case the petitioner fails to comply with the abovesaid direction, this order would be of no avail to her and the order dated 23.10.2024 will come in force and the present petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed.

8. Petition stands disposed of in abovesaid terms.

15.07.2025			(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
sharmila			JUDGE
	Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No