

**CRM-M-40001-2024****206****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-40001-2024****Date of Decision: 05.05.2025**

Gian Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGHPresent:- Mr. Sukhjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner

Ms. Aakanksha Gupta, AAG Punjab

Mr. M.K. Singla, Advocate
for respondent No. 2

KIRTI SINGH, J.(Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS is for grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 55 dated 30.04.2024 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 498-A, 406 IPC at Police Station Dhanaula, District Barnala.

2. On 01.04.2025, this Court passed the following order :-

“As per the mediation report, the matter could not be settled.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is ready to join investigation and cooperate.

Adjourned to 05.05.2025.

Interim order to continue.

In the meantime, the petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Agency/Officer. He shall abide by the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS, 2023:-



1) That the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required to do so.

2) That the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts to the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to Court or to any police officer.

3) That the petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court. Needless to mention that the investigating agency/officer shall continue with the investigation of the case in usual manner. ”

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jasbir Singh submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

5. Having considered the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petition is allowed. Order dated 01.04.2025 passed by this Court, is hereby made absolute.

6. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting the petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency to investigate into the charges against the petitioner.

8. The accused/petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him or her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.



CRM-M-40001-2024

9. The accused/petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.
10. The accused/petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called by the police.
11. It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move to this Court for a direction under Section 483(3) BNSS (erstwhile Section 439(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973) to arrest the accused, in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial.
12. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of accordingly.

05.05.2025
reena

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No