

2025-PIIHC-157772



139

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-19334-2025
Date of Decision: 12.11.2025

Kuldeep Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Director of Rural Development and Panchayat, Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Gursimran Singh Bhatia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nirmaljit Singh Diwana, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vijay Kumar Chaudhary, Advocate
for respondent No. 3.

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

Petition herein is, *inter alia*, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing the official respondents to call a meeting of all the members of the Gram Panchayat on the agenda relating to the works of the village, and in the case of an equal number of votes, to permit the petitioner to exercise his right of casting vote in accordance with law, and to further permit the petitioner to perform his duties as the Sarpanch of the village.

2. Today, learned State counsel has handed over in Court a copy of order dated 03.11.2025 passed by the learned District Development and

Panchayat Officer, Amritsar, which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Another copy thereof has also been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, in Court today itself.

2.1 Learned State counsel, while referring to the aforesaid order, submits that the members of the Panchayat, namely Sh. Sukhdev Singh (Ward No.1), Sh. Tarsem Singh (Ward No.2), Sh. Amrik Singh (Ward No.3) and Smt. Lakhbir Kaur (Ward No.7), have been suspended under Section 20(4) of the Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994, as they had refused to work with the present Sarpanch.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, in view of the aforesaid submissions made by learned State counsel, as well as the order dated 03.11.2025 passed by the learned District Development and Panchayat Officer, Amritsar, he may be permitted to withdraw the present writ petition at this stage, with liberty to the petitioner to file afresh, if the need so arises.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

5. All the pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

12.11.2025
Apurva

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No