



CWP-19337-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CWP-19337-2025

Date of Decision :14.07.2025

Guru Ram Dass Educational Society (Regd.)

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Simran Atwal, Advocate for  
Mr. Maan Akashdeep Singh, Advocate the petitioner.

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Senior Addl. A.G. Punjab with  
' Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gurmeet Kaur Gill, Advocate for respondent No.5-UOI.

\* \* \*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner-society submits that the petitioner-society is not being given the entitled due amount to be given to the petitioner under the Post Matric Scholarship Scheme (S.C.) and the said issue has already been decided by this Court while passing order in **CWP-13761-2024 titled as Akashdeep and others vs. State of Punjab and others decided on 09.01.2025** along with other connected cases and prays that the present petition be also disposed of in terms of the said order.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mrs. Anu Chatrath, Sr. Addl. A.G., Punjab with Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

4. Ms. Gurmeet Kaur Gill, Senior Panel Counsel for Union of



CWP-19337-2025

-2-

India accepts notice on behalf of respondent-UOI.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent-UOI submits that appeals have been filed by the respondents being LPA No.1648-2025 and 1650-2025 against the judgment passed in *Akashdeep's case (supra)* wherein, notice as well as notice regarding stay has already been issued but concedes the fact that the judgment passed in *Akashdeep's case (supra)* has not been stayed.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

7. Once, the issue raised in the present petition has already been decided by this Court while passing order in *Akashdeep's case (supra)* merely that the respondents have filed the appeals against the said order passed in *Akashdeep's case (supra)*, does not mean that the claim of the petitioner-society, which is akin to one which was raised in *Akashdeep's case (supra)*, should not be disposed of in the same terms so as to avoid discrimination especially, when the operation of the judgment passed in *Akashdeep's case (supra)*, has not been stayed.

8. Keeping in view the above, present petition is disposed of in terms of the order passed by this Court in *Akashdeep's case (supra)*.

9. It is made clear that in the present case, the time period of 08 weeks as granted by this Court in the case of *Akashdeep's case (supra)*, will start from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

July 14, 2025

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No