



231 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-7932-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.01.2025

GURPREET KAUR AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Ankur Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Nitesh Sharma, DAG Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India seeking issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of petitioners from private respondents No. 4 to 6 as they are living in live-in relationship against their wishes.
2. On 30.08.2024, following order was passed:

“CRM-W-1088-2024

This is an application u/s 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for amendment in para No.3 of petition in which name of respondent No.4 is wrongly mentioned as Jatinder Singh instead of Pankaj Kumar.

Learned counsel representing State suffered no objection.

For the reasons stated in the application, same is allowed and amended page is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place.

Application is accordingly disposed of.

CRWP-7932-2024

Gurpreet Kaur and Kuldeep Singh have filed present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 to 3 for providing protection of life and liberty to petitioners who are in livein-relationship, from the hands of respondents No.4 to 6, in the interest of justice.

It is argued that both petitioners are major. Petitioner



No.1 is aged about 27 years, copy of her aadhar card is Annexure P-1. Petitioner No.2 is aged about 31 years, copy of his aadhar card is Annexure P-2. Respondent No.4 is husband of petitioner No.1 and respondents No.5 & 6 are parents of petitioner No.1 who are interfering in their life. As per version of petitioners, petitioner No.1 has filed divorce petition before Family Court, Kharar, Mohali which is pending and petitioner No.2 is already married and owns a salon.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 only.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State. Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to supply him a complete copy of the paperbook and Annexure P-3 during the course of day.

Let status report be called for and in the meantime respondents No. 2 and 3 are directed to protect life of petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 6 in case of threat perception.

Adjourned to 25.10.2024 for filing status report.”

3. In compliance of aforesaid order, status report dated 24.10.2024 has been filed by learned State counsel in the Court today, which is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.
4. Learned State counsel submits that the threat perception of the petitioners has been dispassionately assessed and petitioners have submitted before the jurisdictional police authorities that they do not apprehend any threat perception to their life and liberty.
5. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw the present petition.
6. Dismissed as withdrawn.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

22.01.2025
Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No