



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

258

CWP-19670-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.05.2025

Shri Sanatan Dharam Education Society (Regd.)

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Vijay Kumar Jindal, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Amrita Nagpal, Advocate and
Mr. Yashvardhan Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Nikhil Sabharwal, Advocate
for respondent No. 5.

Mr. Kamal Choudhary, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Mr. Adarsh Jain, Advocate with
Ms. Kamaldeep Kaur, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(oral)

1. Through the instant petition, prayer is made for seeking quashing of actions of respondents No. 2 & 3, Addl. Deputy Commissioner, Panipat, and District Registrar, Firms and Societies, Panipat for re-opening the enquiry on the complaint made by respondent No.5, has been challenged.



2. Today, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that the prayer made in the instant writ petition has been rendered infructuous, as the authority concerned is not conducting any re-enquiry on the complaint made by respondent No.5.

3. Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.5, submits that the decision for not conducting enquiry, has in fact caused grievance to respondent No.5, and therefore, they are in the process of challenging the decision taken by respondents No.2 & 3.

4. Be that as it may be, since the prayer made in the instant petition has been rendered infructuous, therefore, the instant petition is **dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.**

21.05.2025

Satyawan

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No