



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

238

CWP-8286-2023
Date of Decision:08.12.2025

KULBHUSHAN GOYAL AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Sonak, AAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the petitioners the benefit of leave encashment for 450 days in lieu of the unutilized earned leave lying to their credit on the dates of their superannuation, rather than limiting the payment to 300 days of earned leave (already paid to the petitioners), along with all arrears of pay and consequential benefits, with interest @ 12% per annum.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents-State submits that at the time of issuance of notice of motion, learned counsel for the petitioners had relied upon the pendency of a bunch of matters, including *CWP-29121-2018*



titled as ***Ram Lal Jindal vs. High Court of Punjab and Haryana and another***, involving a similar controversy as raised in the present petition. The aforesaid bunch has since been dismissed by this Court on 21.01.2025 along with the connected petitions. As such, the present petition is liable to be dismissed in terms of the judgment in ***Ram Lal Jindal’s case*** (supra).

3. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the controversy involved in the present case is identical to the issue decided by this Court in the bunch of matters including ***Ram Lal Jindal’s case*** (supra) on 21.01.2025.

4. In the wake of the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of in the same terms as ***Ram Lal Jindal’s case*** (supra).

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

08.12.2025
P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No