



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

128

CWP-19131-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.07.2025

Subhash Dahiya

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate and
Ms. Nirmal Rani, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, D.A.G., Haryana with
Mr. Karan Jindal, Advocate, Asst. A.G., Haryana
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate with
Ms. Ashna Singh, Advocate,
Ms. Saanvi Singla, Advocate and
Ms. Sharvi Dadwal, Advocate
for the respondent-HSVP.

SUDHIR SINGH, J. (oral)

By way of the present writ petition, the petitioner has sought issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to consider his case to give actual physical possession of plot No. 664 in Sector – 27, 28, 26 P-1, if not possible to give actual physical possession of plot, allot alternative plot to the petitioner in lieu of plot No. 664 in Sector – 27, 28, 26 P-1, Urban Estate Rohtak.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already made representation dated 24.06.2025 (Annexures P-9) to



respondent No. 3 i.e. Estate Officer, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Rohtak, for redressal of his grievances, but no action has been taken thereon till date. He further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, if the respondent authorities are directed to deal with his concerns/grievances and pass appropriate order on the said representation (s).

3. Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate, appears on behalf of respondents No.2 & 3 -Haryana Urban Development Authority and submits that the said representation/application shall be considered and decided in accordance with law.

4. Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Estate Officer, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Rohtak to look into the pending representation dated 24.06.2025 (Annexures P-9) and pass an appropriate reasoned order thereon, in accordance with law, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of copy/production of this order.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUDHIR SINGH)
JUDGE

11.07.2025

Satyawan

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No