



COCP-3272-2025 (O&M)

-1-

216

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-3272-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.08.2025**

Ashutosh Sharma and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

Shri Navdeep Singh Virk and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present : Mr. Jaskaran Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Saurabh Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana,
for the respondents.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (ORAL)

1. The present contempt petition has been filed for deliberate and intentional disobedience of order dated 07.02.2025 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-15336-2024, which was disposed of in terms of the judgment dated 30.09.2022 passed by the learned Single Judge in **CWP-7806-2016** titled as '**Rekha Rani Vs. Chief Secretary to Government of Haryana, Chandigarh and others**'.

2. The Co-ordinate Bench of this Court had issued the following directions, vide its order dated 30.09.2022, in CWP-7806-2016:-

“11. The claims of the petitioner(s) in the connected petitions for out of turn appointment are also based on



their achievements in the discipline of respective sports events held before 05.09.2018, the date of issue of the changed policy in terms of 2018 Rules (Annexure P-2). I have opined and held above that it is a fit case for directing the official respondents to consider the petitioner's claim in CWP No. 7806-2016 (O&M) for out of turn appointment on Group C post in terms of the Sports policy of 2013 as modified in 2014 at par with proforma respondent No. 3. A priori, the impugned orders passed in the connected cases rejecting the petitioners' claim for out of turn appointment on Group C post, based on their achievements in the discipline of respective sports events held before 05.09.2018 ought to be set aside with direction to the official respondents to consider the claims in terms of the Sports policy of 2013 as modified in 2014. As a result of above discussion, these petitions are partly allowed and the impugned orders passed in the connected cases rejecting the petitioners' claim for out of turn appointment on Group C post are set aside with direction to the official respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners in all these cases under the Sports policy of 2013 as modified in 2014 and pass appropriate speaking orders. Needful be done within three months of the supply of certified copy of this order."

3. Learned counsel for the respondents contends that in compliance of order dated 07.02.2025 passed by learned Single Bench,



COC-3272-2025 (O&M)

-3-

appointment letters have been issued to the petitioners. Learned counsel for the petitioners does not dispute the same.

4. In view of the above, the contempt is purged and rule stands discharged.

5. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

25.08.2025

Virender

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No