



**122 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.36812 of 2025
Date of decision: 17.07.2025**

Sheela @ Reena

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent


CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. R.K. Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner is seeking quashing of order dated 03.06.2025 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned ACJM, Panchkula in FIR No.330 dated 03.12.2018 under Sections 406, 420, 494, 120-B IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Chandi Mandir, District Panchkula whereby bail of the petitioner was cancelled and non bailable warrants have been issued *qua* the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner could not appear before the trial Court on the date fixed as the petitioner was ill and was admitted to Yashwant Singh Parmar Medical College Nahan, Himachal Pradesh from 29.05.2025 to 07.06.2025 and to this effect, the petitioner has annexed Discharge and Follow-up card of the said College (Annexure P-3). He further states that during the aforesaid period, due to non-appearance on 03.06.2025, her bail bonds and surety bonds were cancelled and warrants of arrest were issued *qua* the petitioner. He further states that the case is now fixed for hearing before the trial Court on 21.07.2025. However, he submits that the petitioner is willing and



ready to join the proceedings and shall appear before the trial Court as and when required. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions further submits that the petitioner undertakes to appear before the trial Court on each and every date and shall not seek any exemption for her personal appearance on any date of hearing before the trial Court. Hence, in the aforementioned facts and circumstances, the petitioner prays that directions be issued to the Trial Court that her bail application, which she would be filing on her surrender, be decided.

3. Keeping in view the facts of the case, the service of respondent is dispensed with as it will further delay the proceedings.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the material placed on record.

5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and the prayer made by the counsel for the petitioner, this Court does not find any legitimate ground to interfere with the impugned order dated 03.06.2025 passed by learned ACJM, Panchkula. Consequently, the present petition is **disposed of** with a direction to the petitioner to appear and surrender before the trial Court concerned on or before 21.07.2025 at 10.00 A.M. and file an application for bail before the trial Court and on her doing so, the trial Court is directed to consider and decide the said application on the same day, in accordance with law.

17th July, 2025

Sonia Puri

(H.S. GREWAL)

JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes / No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes / No</i>