



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36522-2025

Date of Decision:17.07.2025

Munish Kumar @ Monnu

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. S.K Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravneet Singh Joshi, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No. 83, dated 05.06.2025, registered under Sections 303(2), 317(2) of B.N.S and 111 of B.N.S Police Station Sujampur, District Pathankot (Annexure P-1).
2. As per the case set up by the prosecution, the police apprehended Gagandeep Singh, while he was travelling on a stolen motorcycle. It has been alleged that Gagandeep Singh had named the petitioner in his disclosure statement.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had no connection with Gagandeep Singh, main accused and he had no role with in the theft of the motorcycle, which was allegedly recovered by the police. He further submits that two motorcycles, which were recovered from other persons were planted on him. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on

08.06.2025 and is in custody since then. Even, the investigation has already been completed and challan is likely to be presented against him very soon. By referring to Punjab School Education Board Certificate (Annexure P-2) learned counsel submits that the petitioner is a student and deserves sympathetic consideration by this Court. Even he has not involved in any other case.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations were levelled against the present petitioner.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

6. No doubt the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature, but the petitioner is a student and is stated to be in custody since 08.06.2025. Moreover, recovery of motorcycle has already been effected and his further custody will not serve any meaningful purpose.

7. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail pending trial on his furnishing bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/ Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

17.07.2025
hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No