



CWP-19292-2025 (O&M)

-1-

107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-19292-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.07.2025**

Ram Mehar Gill

....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present : Mr. Robin Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Kumar Jund, Senior Panel Counsel
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

HARSH BUNGER, J. (Oral)

Petition herein is, *inter alia*, for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus to direct respondent No.3 to reissue the passport to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is arrayed as an accused in case FIR No.0027 dated 22.02.2018 (Annexure P-1) registered under Section 8A of the National Highways Act, 1956, read with Sections 148, 149, 283 and 341 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Titram, District Kaithal. It is submitted that in the said FIR, only the challan has been presented and the charges have not been framed.

3. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.4-State of Haryana, on instructions from ASI Rohtash 79/KTL, Police Station Titram,

**CWP-19292-2025 (O&M)**

-2-

Kaithal, does not dispute the fact that till date the charges against the petitioner in the aforesaid case FIR have not been framed.

4. In view of the aforesaid stand taken by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner herein is squarely covered by the judgment passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in “*Mohan Lal @ Mohna Vs. Union of India and others*”, 2023 (3) RCR (Civil) 772 and prays that the present petition be disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to reissue the passport to the petitioner by considering his case in light of decision rendered in *Mohan Lal @ Mohna’s* case (*supra*).

5. Learned counsel appearing for respondents No.1 to 3/Union of India does not dispute the aforesaid position and submits that the case of petitioner for re-issuance of the passport would be considered by the concerned authority in the light of decision rendered in *Mohan Lal @ Mohna’s* case (*supra*).

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the application for re-issuance of passport, submitted by the petitioner, in the light of judgment rendered by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in *Mohan Lal @ Mohna’s* case (*supra*).

7. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

14.07.2025*Himani***(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No