2025:PHHC:083135

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

143

CRWP-7348-2025
Date of decision: 09.07.2025

Jyoti Rani and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present : Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate, for the petitioners.

****

H.S. GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been preferred by the petitioners, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950 read with Section 528 BNSS seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the official respondents to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from private respondents. It is stated in the petition that petitioners have performed their marriage, which is against the will of private respondents and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause physical harm to them.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners being major, got married against the wishes of private respondents. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation/complaint dated 08.07.2025 (Annexure P-5) was also made to respondent No.2- Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, Haryana, wherein a mention has been made about the alleged threat perception. Learned counsel submits that despite the official respondents having been communicated about the alleged threat perception, no steps have been taken to come to the rescue of the petitioners. Learned

counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, Haryana,l to look into their representation/complaint dated 08.07.2025 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

5. Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of **"Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another", JT 2006 (6) SC 173,** the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, Haryana, to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

09.07.2025
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No