



CRM-M-36330-2025

103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36330-2025

Date of decision: 29.07.2025

BARKAT ALI**...PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB****...RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Abhishek Khullar, Advocate and
Ms. Garima Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S.GREWAL,J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 70 dated 11.05.2025 under Sections 118(1), 126(2), 190 of BNS, 2023 (under Sections 118(2) of BNS, 2023 added later on) registered at Police Station Nakodar Sadar, District Jalandhar.
2. The case of the prosecution is that the present petitioner along with the co-accused has inflicted grievous injuries upon the complainant. The petitioner inflicted an injury with the *Kirch* held by him on the head of the complainant.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that there is unexplained delay of more than a month in filing the complaint. He contends that the allegations levelled in the FIR are merely an afterthought. The petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.
4. Notice of motion.
5. Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf for



CRM-M-36330-2025

the respondent-State. He has opposed the prayer for concession of bail to the petitioner on the ground that offences attributed to the petitioner are serious in nature.

6. I have heard the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties and gone through the record.

7. The allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature. He has caused injury with the *Kirch* on the head of the complainant and the said injury is stated to be declared grievous in nature. At the time of grant of anticipatory bail, the Court is required to consider factors such as nature and gravity of the offence, the role attributed to the petitioner. Keeping in view the peculiar facts of the case, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required, no ground is made out to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

29.07.2025

renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No