

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-36118-2025
Decided on: 11.08.2025

GURPREET SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Sukhmeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Gurpreet Singh	60	29.05.2025	326(a), 351(1), 303(2), 3(5) of BNS 2023, 70 of Northern India Canal and Drainage Act, 1873	Sadiq	Faridkot

2. On 11.07.2025, following order was passed:-

“ Contends, inter alia, that co-accused-Satpal Singh & Joginder Singh with similar allegations have been granted interim protection by this Court on 04.07.2025 & 07.07.2025 (P-3 & P-4) respectively.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, learned Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State; seeks time to file written response in the matter.

Posted for 11.08.2025.

To be heard along with CRM-M-34216-2025.



In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer, but he be not arrested till the next date of hearing. “

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 11.07.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order. He further contends that the petitioner is not attributed with injury falling under Section 118(2) of BNS [erstwhile Section 326 of IPC].

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from I.O. confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 21.07.2025 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 11.07.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

11.08.2025
Chiranjeev

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**