



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

1. COCP-3251-2025

Lakha Singh ... Petitioner

Versus

Sachin Gupta ... Respondent

2. COCP-3301-2025

Vijay Singh and others ... Petitioners

Versus

Sachin Gupta ... Respondent

3. COCP-3310-2025

Mohit Lathar and another ... Petitioners

Versus

Sachin Gupta ... Respondent

4. COCP-3313-2025

Davinder Lathar and another ... Petitioners

Versus

Sachin Gupta ... Respondent

5. COCP-3283-2025

Raghubir Singh ... Petitioner

Versus

Sachin Gupta ... Respondent

Date of decision : 17.09.2025

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL



Present: Mr.Pankaj Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Harmanjot Singh Gill, Advocate
for the respondent.

Mr.Deepak Sabharwal, Addl.A.G. Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the respondent has pointed out that in the present case the allotment letter has been issued to the petitioner, although rate charged in the same is of the year 2025 and has further submitted that the petitioner has challenged the same in various writ petitions including CWP-20002-2025 and has submitted that in view of the same, the present petition be disposed at this stage granting liberty to the petitioner to revive the same at the appropriate stage.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the above, has submitted that the present petition be disposed of with liberty to revive the same at the appropriate stage.
3. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with the aforesaid liberty.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

September 17, 2025.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No