



CRM-M-36007-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

224

CRM-M-36007-2025
Decided on : 23.09.2025

ARPINDER SINGH . . . PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB . . . RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Jaskamal Singh Grewal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed here-under:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Arpinder Singh	0135	06.06.2025	126(2), 118(1), 115(2), 191(3), 190, 61(2), 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023	Mandi Gobindgarh	Fatehgarh Sahib



CRM-M-36007-2025

2. On 10.07.2025, following order was passed by Coordinate Bench of this Court:-

“Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0135 dated 06.06.2025 under Sections 126(2), 118(1), 115(2), 191(3), 190, 61(2), 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, registered at Police Station Mandi Gobindgarh, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner, who has no previous criminal antecedents, has not been attributed any injury, much less sharp grievous, on the person of the complainant; the only role attributed to the petitioner is of parking his motorcycle close to the place of occurrence in a running condition and soon after the injuries had been inflicted upon the complainant, prime accused Gopi along with others fled away from the spot on his motorcycle. In support, learned counsel has drawn the attention of this Court to the FIR, annexed as Annexure P-1.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. H.S. Deol, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to get necessary instructions.

Adjourned to 23.09.2025.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS”.

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 10.07.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by learned counsel for the petitioner of joining the

**CRM-M-36007-2025**

investigation on 26.07.2025, by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 10.07.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. **Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.**

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

September 23, 2025
Poonam Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No