



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

241

CRM-M-36412-2025
Date of decision: 22.07.2025

AKASH ALIAS KASHIPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. A.S. Grewal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasdeep Singh, Additional A.G, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
AKASH ALIAS KASHI	110	18.05.2019	307, 341, 325, 323, 148 and 149 of IPC	Civil Lines, Bathinda	Bathinda

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that, at the first instance, the petitioner was released on bail by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bathinda, vide order dated 13.09.2019, and thereafter he continued to appear before the Court, except on one occasion, i.e., 20.05.2022. Resultantly, bail bonds and surety bonds were cancelled and in due course petitioner was declared



- 2-

proclaimed offender on 30.03.2024.

3. Consequent to the petitioner being declared a proclaimed offender, he was arrested on 30.05.2024, and since then, he has been inside jail, i.e., for a period of about 1 year and 2 months.

4. Learned counsel further contends that, after the re-arrest of the petitioner, he has already undergone a sufficient period of incarceration and has thus learned his lesson, and will not remain absent during the course of future proceedings.

5. On being asked, learned State counsel submits that out of a total of 28 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined till date. Therefore, the liberty of the petitioner is not required to be curtailed, especially considering that, on earlier occasion, the petitioner was granted bail in view of the totality of the circumstances, vide order dated 13.09.2019 passed by the learned trial Court itself.

6. This Court has heard the learned counsel for the respective parties, and has gone through the instant petition.

7. As per the totality of circumstances, and the facts/allegations levelled against the petitioner, and the factors noticed hereinabove, I deem it appropriate to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

8. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.



9. Any of the discussion done and recorded hereabove, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.
10. Petition stands disposed of.

22.07.2025
amandeep

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No