



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

102

**COCP-2525-2023
Date of decision: 18.08.2025**

NIRMAL SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

SANJEEV SHARMA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. G.P.S. Bal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Balwinder Singh, AAG, Punjab.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner very candidly submits that initially status quo order was passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court vide order dated 02.06.2023 passed in *LPA-769-2023* titled '*Nirmal Singh vs. State of Punjab and another*'. However, later on the said LPA was admitted by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court vide order dated 24.04.2024 but the petitioner continued working for 3 months with the respondent. In this regard, the petitioner would file a representation to the respondent for release of his remuneration for the said period.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the said representation would be expeditiously decided within a period of 3 months after



receiving the same.

- 3. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the present petition be disposed of accordingly.
- 4. Rule stands discharged.
- 5. In view of the aforesaid submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the present petition stands disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

18.08.2025
P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No