



CWP-23315-2022

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(130)

CWP-23315-2022

Date of Decision : 10.01.2025

Bhajan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Rajnish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab and
Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 7.

Mr. Saurabh Kaushik, Advocate
for respondent No.8.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, a prayer is made for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the official respondents to conclude the inquiry against the private respondent No.8, for committing theft of wood/trees lying in the Canal Rest House, Rohti Division, in a time bound manner, pursuant to the complaint dated nil (Annexure P-1), preferred by the petitioner.

2. Learned State counsel, at the very outset informs this Court, that now the inquiry has been concluded and final report was ordered to be filed. The copy of the inquiry report has been supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioner in the Court today itself.



CWP-23315-2022

2

3. In view of the above, the prayer so made by the petitioner, by filing the instant petition has become infructuous.
4. Accordingly, the instant petition is **disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.**
5. In case, the petitioner has any grievance with regard to the closing of the inquiry, he is at liberty to avail alternate efficacious remedy/ies, in accordance with law.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

January 10, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No