

2025:PHHC:002534



IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT  
CHANDIGARH.

204

CWP-23122-2021 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 10.01.2025.

RAJBIR SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

PRESENT: Mr. Vijay Dahiya, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bharat Bhushan Sharma, Senior Panel Counsel,  
for the respondents/Union of India.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J (ORAL)

CM-5505-CWP-2022

Application is allowed as prayed for subject to all just  
exceptions.

Written statement on behalf of the respondents is taken on  
record.

2025:PHHC:002534



The Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

**MAIN CASE**

The instant writ petition had been filed for seeking issuance of directions to the respondents to consider the case of petitioner for pension as per office Memorandum dated 17.02.2020 (Annexure P-3), Government of India, Department of Pension and PW, under Central Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1972 in place of National Pension System.

2            Learned counsel appearing for the respondents/Union of India contends that he has instructions to state that pensions as per the applicable Rules along with arrears already stands released in favour of the petitioner.

3            Learned counsel for the petitioner feigns ignorance of the same.

4            Without going further into the controversy, in view of the statement made by the counsel for the respondents/Union of India, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

5            Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to seek revival of the present writ petition in case the aforesaid statement is found to be incorrect.

**January 10, 2025.**  
**raj arora**

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned*        : Yes/No  
*Whether reportable*                : Yes/No