



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-7441-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision :18.08.2025

GURSEV SINGH AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. J.S. Lalli, Advocate with
Mr. Manish Verma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. M.S. Toor, DAG, Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents to protect life and liberty of the petitioners.

On 25.07.2025, the following order was passed:-

“The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner No.1 was a disciple of Mata Chand Kaur Ji wife of late Sh. Satguru Jagjit Singh Ji the head of the Namdhari Sectt. The Mata was murdered in the year 2016. The State of Punjab referred the FIR registered to the CBI for investigation. Subsequent thereto, the petitioners have filed multiple petitions for proper and fair investigation in the matter. On this count, the petitioners were facing a threat at the hands of well connected individuals. The State of Punjab had initially provided one PSO to the petitioners which has been taken away in May, 2025 on the grounds that there is insufficient manpower with the Punjab Police. He prays that the security be restored.

“Notice of motion.



CRWP-7441-2025

-2-

“On the asking of the Cour, Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, Asstt. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 5. He seeks time to obtain instructions.

“List on 28.07.2025 for final argument.

“To be shown in the urgent-list. ”

On 28.07.2025, the following order was passed:-

“On 25.05.2025, the following order was passed:-

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner No.1 was a disciple of Mata Chand Kaur Ji wife of late Sh. Satguru Jagjit Singh Ji the head of the Namdhari Sectt. The Mata was murdered in the year 2016. The State of Punjab referred the FIR registered to the CBI for investigation. Subsequent thereto, the petitioners have filed multiple petitions for proper and fair investigation in the matter. On this count, the petitioners were facing a threat at the hands of well connected individuals. The State of Punjab had initially provided one PSO to the petitioners which has been taken away in May, 2025 on the grounds that there is insufficient manpower with the Punjab Police. He prays that the security be restored.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Cour, Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, Asstt.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 5. He seeks time to obtain instructions.

List on 28.07.2025 for final argument.

To be shown in the urgent-list.

The learned counsel for the State on instructions submits the adequate protection shall be provided to the petitioners for the next 15 days after which the threat perception shall be re-assessed.

Adjourned to 18.08.2025.”

On 06.08.2025, the following order was passed:-

**CRWP-7441-2025****-3-**

“The learned State counsel assures the Court that adequate protection shall be provided to the petitioner during the course of the day.

Adjourned to 08.08.2025.”

Thereafter, the matter has come up for hearing.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a PSO has been provided to the petitioners.

A status report dated 18.08.2025 by way of an affidavit of Harsimran Singh Bal, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police (City-II) District SAS Nagar has been filed on behalf of the State by the learned counsel for the State. The same is taken on record. He submits that the petitioners do face a threat but the threat perception shall be reviewed after a period of one year until which the security provided shall remain with the petitioners.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court..

Needless to say, if the petitioners are aggrieved, they are free to avail remedies in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

Since the main petition has been disposed of no order needs to be passed in the pending application(s), if any.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

18.08.2025
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No