



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

254

CWP-21996-2020

Date of decision: 21.01.2025

Rajwinder Kaur and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Sonu Bhatia, Advocate for
Mr. Sukhdev Kamboj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for considering the petitioner for appointment to the post of Punjabi Mistress against BC category.

2. Reliance in the index of the petition had been placed on the pendency of CWP-22959-2016, **Gurjinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others**, which alongwith batch of 22 petitions was disposed of vide judgment dated 17.02.2023, wherein presence of the learned counsel for the petitioner, who files the present petition, was also marked, which reads thus:-

“3. The learned counsel representing the State of Punjab submits that the aforesaid exercise has already been carried out by the concerned authority. He submits that 209 candidates from the general category candidates would be in excess from the total vacancies. It has been pointed out that the recruitment notice was issued on 20.11.2015. There are only 99 writ petitioners out of which 30 candidates have secured required cut off marks.



Under point No.1 and 2 the candidates have already been adjusted, whereas, the remaining 69 candidates are lower in merit than the last general category selected candidate.

4. With regard to the last argument of the learned counsel representing the petitioners, Sh. Vinay Bubllani, IAS, Director General School Education, Punjab, has assured the Court that the aforesaid exercise shall be completed within a period of six weeks jointly with Sh. Tejdeep Singh Saini, PCS, Director Public Instruction (S.E.), Punjab, and necessary order will be passed. After completing the exercise, the appointment letters, if any, shall be issued to the eligible petitioners.

5. Since, a period of nearly 7 years have elapsed, therefore, this order shall not give opportunity to the other candidates who have never filed the writ petitions in due time and the benefit of the order shall only be restricted to the petitioners.

6. Hence, the writ petitions are disposed of.

7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.”

3. In view of the above, the present petition is also disposed of in terms of **Gurjinder Singh** (supra).

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

21.01.2025

Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No