



CRM-M-36192-2025

-1-

**299 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36192-2025

Date of decision: 15.10.2025

TALWINDER SINGH AND ORS.

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Karanbir Singh Sidhu, Advocate for
Mr. Rao Ajender Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ajeet Pal Singh Pakka, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition is for quashing of cross case registered vide GD No.015 dated 08.03.2025 registered under Sections 117(2), 190, 191(3) of BNS, 2023 in case bearing FIR No.24 dated 03.02.2025, under Sections 118(1), 115(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Talwandi Sabo, District Bathinda, and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of Panchayati compromise dated 09.04.2025 (Annexure P-3) arrived at between the parties.

2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2.

3. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 submitted that he has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.



CRM-M-36192-2025

-2-

Magistrate was directed to record the statements of all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, joint report from the Court of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Talwani Sabo, District Bathinda along with statements of the parties has been received in respect of this petition as well as connected petition bearing No. CRM-M-36196-2025 titled as **Daljeet Singh and another Versus State of Punjab and others** and the same is tagged with this petition, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion. It is a case of version and cross version.

7. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

8. For the reasons aforestated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and GD No.015 dated 08.03.2025 registered under Sections 117(2), 190, 191(3) of BNS, 2023 in case bearing FIR No.24 dated 03.02.2025, under Sections 118(1), 115(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station



CRM-M-36192-2025

-3-

quashed qua the petitioners subject to costs of Rs.25,000/- to be deposited by the petitioners with the Punjab State Legal Services Authority-Disaster Relief Fund, Account No.44426937384, IFSC Code- SBIN0014656, State Bank of India, Sector-68, SAS Nagar (Punjab).

9. Receipt regarding deposit of aforesaid cost be produced before Court concerned. It is made clear that if cost is not deposited within one month i.e. upto 15.11.2025, present petition deems to be dismissed.

15.10.2025

renubala

(SUBHAS MEHLA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No