



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36236-2025

Date of Decision: 11.07.2025

Vandana

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and Anr.

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present: Mr. Vijay Kumar Jindal, Advocate Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Abhishek Shukla, Advocate
Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate
Mr. Vineet Sehgal, Advocate
Mr. Nilesh Bhardhwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar Banku, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of Cr. P.C with a prayer to quash the FIR No.21 dated 29.06.2025, under Sections 120-B, 218, 409, 420 of IPC and 13 of P.C Act, registered at Police Station Anti Corruption Bureau, Gurugram, District Anti Corruption Bureau, Haryana (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.
2. Learned State counsel on instructions DSP Ashok Kumar submits that till date, no incriminating evidence has been found against the petitioner and she has not been arrayed as an accused in the present case. Learned State counsel submits that in case any incriminating evidence is collected against the petitioner during the course of investigation, the advance notice of seven days shall be issued to her before proceeding against her.

3. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

4. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

11.07.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No