



CWP-6900-2017

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

CWP-6900-2017

Date of Decision: 22.01.2025

Vikas and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Vikas Kumar, Advocate, for
Mr. T.C. Dhanwal, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Krishan K. Chahal, Addl. AG, Haryana

Mr. Amit Rao, Advocate, for
Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate for respondent no.2/University

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the advertisement PR-70 of 2016, Annexure P-1, whereby the respondent/University advertised regular posts of Assistant Professor, Associate Professor and Professor in various Departments. Further, a writ of *mandamus* has been sought directing the respondents to issue experience certificates to the petitioners for the period they have been working as Guest Faculty/Assistant Professor in different Departments.

2. The petition has been filed on the ground that impugned advertisement has been issued to circumvent the process of law and deprive the petitioners of their livelihood in colourable exercise of power.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the University has referred to the written statement, dated 23.04.2019, mentioning that petitioners no.1 to



3 and 7 were applicants for the post of Assistant Professor in different subjects, and were duly considered for appointment; however, they could not be selected being lower in merit. Selection pursuant to the advertisement in question has already been finalised and the selected candidates have joined the service as well.

4. The selected persons who have joined service have not been impleaded parties to the petition despite the fact being in the petitioners’ knowledge since April 2019. Therefore, the petition cannot be entertained in its present form. As per settled law, no order can be passed by the Court adverse to the interest of a person who is not a party to the *lis*.

5. In view thereof, the petition stands dismissed.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

22.01.2025

Payal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No