



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CWP-6756-2017

Date of decision: 29.10.2025

MANGE RAM

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Mohan Sheoran, Advocate for
Mr. Dinesh Kumar Jangra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Pratap Singh, DAG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 21.04.2017, the following order was passed:

"The petitioner's claim for promotion to the post of Assistant Sub-Inspector w.e.f. 01.01.2010 the date on which the petitioner's juniors were promoted cannot be granted on the ground of delay and laches. To that extent official respondents have already taken a decision on 24.11.2015 (Annexure P16). Thus there is a delay in approaching the official respondents as well as this court in seeking promotion to the post of Assistant Sub-Inspector w.e.f. 1.1.2010, the date on which petitioner's juniors were promoted. Therefore, the petitioner is not entitled for retrospective promotion w.e.f. 01.01.2010.

However, notice of motion only in respect of prospective promotion.

On the asking of the court, Mr. R.K.Doon, AAG, Haryana who is present in the court accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.



Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to furnish 3 sets of paper book to learned State counsel, during the course of the day.

Learned State counsel is directed to get necessary instructions as to why the petitioner is not entitled for prospective promotion to the post of Assistant Sub-Inspector.

List this matter on 27.07.2017."

2. Learned State counsel submits that petitioner submitted his unwillingness to attend Intermediate School Course, thus, he was not considered in Batch No.56. The respondents No.5 to 7 attended 56th Batch of Intermediate School Course, thus, they were promoted. The petitioner participated in Batch No.57 of Intermediate School Course, thus, he was promoted after promotion of respondents No.5 to 7. He further submits that petitioner has superannuated on 30.04.2021 as Sub-Inspector.

3. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the instant petition has rendered infructuous.

4. ***Disposed of*** with liberty to petitioner to move an appropriate application within three months from today, if cause survives.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

29.10.2025
P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No