



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

14

CRR-1907-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.07.2025

Gurinder Singh @ Gurvinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

M/s Shree Ram Transport Finance Company Ltd. and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, AAG, Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking setting aside of the judgment of conviction dated 09.04.2018 and order of sentence dated 10.04.2018 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sirsa, whereby the petitioner has been convicted for offence under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (for short, 'the NI Act') and sentenced to undergo RI for 06 months and to pay compensation to respondent No.1 to the tune of ₹4,52,000/-, and the order dated 07.08.2023 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sirsa, vide which the appeal preferred by the petitioner was dismissed and conviction of the petitioner upheld.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* submits that



CRR-1907-2023 (O&M)

the matter stands amicably settled between the parties following the payment by the petitioner of the entire amount to respondent No.1 and no amount is now outstanding against the petitioner. A prayer has, therefore, been made for compounding the offence on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties. In support, he has relied upon *A.T. Sivaperumal Vs. Mohammed Hyath (D) By Lrs.' 2017 (2) R.C.R. (Criminal) 453 and A.J. Asana Vs. Sittrarasu 2019 (5) R.C.R. (Criminal) 568.*

3. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.1 does not dispute the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner that the entire amount stood paid by the petitioner to respondent No.1. He does not oppose the prayer made for compounding of the offence.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the relevant material on record.

5. In view of the fact that the parties have amicably settled their dispute and the petitioner has paid the entire amount to respondent No.1, offence under Section 138 of the NI Act is hereby compounded.

6. Accordingly, the instant revision petition is also allowed and impugned judgments and orders of conviction are set aside.

7. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

08.07.2025

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No