

CM-9537-CWP-2025 in/and
RA-CW-287-2025 in
CWP No. 11102 of 2025

Dr. Somya Tuteja Vs. **Union Territory of Chandigarh and others**

Present:- Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. Standing counsel with
Ms. Sukhmani Patwalia, Addl. Standing counsel for U.T. Chd.

Mr. Priyanshu Kamra, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

* * * *

CM No. 9537-CWP-2025 in Review Application No. 287 of 2025

Documents enclosed with the application are taken on record.

Application stands disposed of.

RA-CW-287-2025 in CWP No. 11102 of 2025

This review application has been filed by the applicant-petitioner with a prayer for grant of liberty to raise the issue of challenge to the condition by which a bond of Rs. 20 lacs was required to be filled by each candidate in case the student failed to complete his residency tenure and/or if the student opt out of PG course after cutoff date.

No doubt, the applicant-petitioner has raised this ground in para-9 and in prayer Clause (iii) of Civil Writ Petition No. 11102 of 2025 but a bare perusal of the order under review reveals that the said ground was not pressed. There is not even whisper of any such contention made by learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner in the writ petition and therefore, principle of constructive *res judicata* comes in the way of the applicant-petitioner for raising this prayer. Reliance in this regard is placed on the judgments rendered by the Apex Court in *Devi Lal Modi vs. Sales Tax Officer AIR 1965 SC 1150*

and Securities, Hope Plantations Ltd. vs. Taluk Land Board, (1999) 5 SCC 590, and Exchange Board of India vs. Ram Kishori Gupta and another 2025 SCC Online SC 748.

In view of the above, no cause for review is made out especially when there is no palpable error in the order under review.

The review application stands dismissed.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

01.08.2025
ravinder