



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

**COCP-3248-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.10.2025**

Pyare Lal

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Monika Gupta, IAS

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr. Shokeen S. Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (Oral)

CM-21455-CII-2025

1. The present application has been filed for exemption of the personal appearance of the applicant.

2. For the reasons mentioned in the application which is supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed.

CM-16205-CII-2025

1. The present application has been filed for impleading the Commissioner & Secretary to Government of Haryana, Urban Local Bodies Department as respondent No.2, in memo of parties in COCP-3248-2025.

2. For the reasons mentioned in the application which is supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Commissioner & Secretary to Government of Haryana, Urban Local Bodies Department is impleaded as respondent No.2 and amended memo of parties is taken on record.

**COCP-3248-2025**

1. The present contempt petition has been filed for disobedience of order dated 29.04.2009 passed by this Court in CWP-14581-2007.
2. The relevant portion of 29.04.2009 passed by this Court in CWP-14581-2007 is reproduced as under:-

“The writ petition is accordingly disposed of with a direction to the Deputy Commissioner, Panchkula to ensure that the meeting of the Committee constituted to find out the alternative sites and/or lay down the modalities for allotments is held immediately and necessary recommendations, if any, are sent to the State Government within a period of two months. The State Government, on its turn, is directed to take a final decision within a period of three months from the date a report is received. Any laxity on the party of the District Administration in ignoring these directions or delaying the matter would be viewed seriously.

It is, however, clarified that if the alternative sites are decided to be allotted to the dairy owners, the respondents shall be entitled to verify the genuineness of the claims of the petitioners or other applicants before making the allotments.”

3. Learned State counsel contends that in compliance of order dated 29.04.2009 passed by this Court in CWP-14581-2007, compliance affidavit dated 09.10.2025 along with Annexures R-2/1, R-2/2 and R-2/3, compliance affidavit dated 08.09.2025 along with Annexures R-1 to R-4 and compliance affidavit dated 01.08.2025 along with Annexures R-1 to R-5, have been filed.



4. A perusal of memo of party of the writ petition shows that the petitioner was not even the party in the writ petition and the writ petition was filed by the dairy owners. The petitioner is not even the dairy owner rather he is one of the residents. In the garb of the contempt petition, he is arguing public interest litigation by stating that the commercial activities are going on from residential houses in Sector-19, Panchkula.

5. Along with contempt petition, learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record Annexure P-2, which is a complaint regarding non-compliance of order dated 29.04.2009 passed by this Court in CWP-14581-2007, filed by the petitioner. As per the compliance affidavits dated 09.10.2025, 08.09.2025 and 01.08.2025, order dated 29.04.2009 has been complied with.

6. Even if the petitioner was not party in the writ petition, the compliance affidavits dated 09.10.2025, 08.09.2025 and 01.08.2025 have been filed by the respondents. A bare perusal of the compliance affidavits dated 09.10.2025, 08.09.2025 and 01.08.2025 shows that order dated 29.04.2009 passed by this Court in CWP-14581-2007 has been duly complied with.

7. Accordingly, the present contempt petition is dismissed with costs of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) for filing such kind of frivolous contempt. The said amount shall be deposited by the petitioner within a period of two weeks from the date of this order with the treasurer of Punjab Chief Minister Relief Fund, Account No.001934001000589, IFSC Code: TPSC0000019, Punjab Civil Secretariat 1 Branch.



- 8. In view of the above, contempt is purged and rule is discharged.
- 9. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

October 28th 2025
Sahil

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No