



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

238

CWP-21415-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 28.07.2025

Kajal

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate;
Mr. Pardeep Kumar, Advocate;
Mr. Ishaan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Dev, Addl. AG Haryana.

Mr. Saurabh Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Tanvir S. Attariwala, Advocate for respondent No.3.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant writ petition has been filed aggrieved of the action/inaction of the respondents in not considering the petitioner under the DESM (General) Category on the ground that the certificate submitted by her is not in the prescribed format.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the controversy involved in the present case has already been considered by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in **CWP-14505-2022** titled as '**Sumit Vs. State of Haryana and others**' decided on **03.09.2024**. The said writ petition was allowed and the petitioner therein was ordered to be considered under the General-DESM Category. **LPA-61-2025** preferred by the Haryana Staff Selection Commission against the judgment dated 03.09.2024 was dismissed



by a Division Bench of this Court vide order dated 16.01.2025.

3. Learned State counsel and counsel for respondent No.3 are not in a position to dispute the fact that the present petition is covered by the judgment rendered in the matter of *Sumit (supra)*.

4. Consequently, the instant writ petition stands **allowed** in terms of judgment dated 03.09.2024 passed in the matter of *Sumit (supra)* and as affirmed by the Division Bench of this Court vide judgment dated 16.01.2025 passed in **LPA-61-2025**.

5. All pending civil misc. application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

28.07.2025
Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No