



CWP-18871-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-18871-2025**Date of decision: 10.07.2025**

Raj Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Govind Arora, Advocate, and
Mr. Harsh Bargat, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. The lackadaisical and indolent approach of the authorities concerned in not deciding the claim of the petitioner on account of death of her mother, under Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Antyodaya Parivar Suraksha Yojana (DAYALU-II), Scheme, has propelled him to approach this Court through the instant writ petition, as cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a Mandamus upon the respondents to make the payment of compensation.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not only applied for the claim, as per the Scheme (supra), but has also submitted a representation dated 25.08.2023 (Annexure P-5) with the authorities concerned, followed by a legal notice dated 05.02.2025 (Annexure P-8). He submits that a considerable time has elapsed, but no action has been taken thereon, till date.

3. Since an *innocuous* and a *bona fide* prayer has been made, this Court deems it apt, at this stage, to pass a Mandamus upon the



CWP-18871-2025

-2-

Deputy Commissioner, Ambala-respondent No.3, who is the Head of the District Level Committee, to consider the claim of the petitioner, as raised vide the representation and legal notice (supra), and decide the same, within a period one month from the receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. **Disposed of**, accordingly.

5. However, in case, the claim of the petitioner is not decided within the stipulated period, he is at liberty to move an apt application/motion for revival of the instant petition.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

10.07.2025
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No