



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

112

LPA No.2036 of 2025 (O&M).

Date of Decision: 19.08.2025.

Amarjit Singh

....Appellant.

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents.

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. Kanav Goyal, Advocate for
Mr. Pardhuman Garg, Advocate for the appellant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

CM-5076-LPA-2025

Prayer in this application is for condonation of delay of 15 days
in filing the appeal.

Heard.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and
delay of 15 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

Main Appeal

The appellant has impugned the judgment of the Single Bench
dated 20.05.2025 passed in CWP No.14810 of 2021, whereby the writ
petition preferred by him seeking direction to the official respondents to
appoint him to the post of Peon under the SC Category has been dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant was the most meritorious candidate for the post of Peon under SC Category and he ought to have been selected but instead the official respondents have selected respondent No.3 although he had applied under the Ex-Servicemen Category.

3. Heard.

4. The appellant had applied for the post of Peon under SC Category. The appellant had secured 39.33 marks, whereas respondent No.3, who has been selected in the SC Category had secured 40.67 marks. Respondent No.3 had earlier been shown as selected under the Ex-Servicemen Category in the result declared on 11.01.2018. However, certain discrepancies were noticed in the result and the result was put on hold, vide order dated 19.01.2018 (Annexure P-4). Upon verification of the documents submitted by respondent No.3, it transpired that he had earlier served in the Border Security Force and had submitted photocopy of the discharge book against the service rendered by him in the Border Security Force only to claim the benefit of marks for the purpose of experience. It was found that due to clerical oversight the category of respondent No.3 had been inadvertently shown as Ex-Serviceman, whereas at the time of general skill test, his category had been recorded as SC Category. The official respondents thereafter had rectified their mistake and published the revised result on 05.04.2018. Respondent No.3 was given appointment under the SC Category as he was found to be the meritorious candidate in that category having secured more marks than the appellant, who was placed in the waiting list at Serial No.1.

5. We do not find any merit in this Letters Patent Appeal as admittedly the appellant had secured less marks than respondent No.3, who was the selected candidate for the post of Peon under SC Category. Consequently, we do not find any manifest error in the judgment of the Single Bench dismissing the writ petition, warranting interference by this Court. Consequently, the Letters Patent Appeal being devoid of any merit stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

19.08.2025

jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No