



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

219

CWP-3553-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.01.2025

Sarabjit Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. P.K. Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer in the present petition is for directing the respondents to consider and grant appointment to the petitioner on compassionate basis, which was rejected vide orders dated 22.07.2015 and 04.01.2016.

2. The father of the petitioner, who was working as a Constable, had superannuated on 06.06.2014 and granted extension of 1 year, during which, he expired on 20.03.2015. The instructions dated 27.12.2016, P-11 made applicable w.e.f. 08.10.2012, envisaged that as per para 3, the dependents of employees, who had passed away during extension in service, were entitled to be considered for appointment on compassionate basis. He thus, at this stage, on instructions from the petitioner, prays that a direction be given to the respondents to reconsider his claim, keeping in view the aforesaid instruction, in a time bound manner, which learned State counsel has no objection.

3. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to

reconsider and decide his claim, taking note of the afore-referred instruction, within a period of 4 months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to him and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

16.01.2025
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No