



CWP-19287-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(305)

CWP-19287-2024

Date of Decision : February 04, 2025

Anjana

.. Petitioner

Versus

Panjab University and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sarvesh Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Indresh Goel, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Mr. Parminder Kanwar, Additional Standing Counsel, with
Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Mr. Sylvester, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that she is the legally wedded wife of respondent No.5 and due to some matrimonial dispute, all efforts have been made by him to throw her out from the accommodation which has been allotted to the respondent No.5 by the Panjab University where the petitioner is residing as of now.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all efforts are being made by not depositing the certain dues so that the allotment of the house in favour of respondent No.5 is cancelled so that the petitioner is thrown out of the said house.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.5 submits that he undertakes to pay all the dues of the allotted house and as the same has already been deducted by the Panjab University, he raises no grievance qua the same and will not take any action in a manner to throw the petitioner out of the

**CWP-19287-2024****2**

said house until the house in question legally remains allotted to him.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the argument that the respondent No.5 has been thrown out of the house is incorrect and the petitioner has no objection in case he comes and lives with the petitioner keeping in view the availability of the accommodation for the said purpose.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent-University submits that keeping in view the fact that the parties have agreed to retain the accommodation allotted in favour of respondent No.5, the same will be allowed to be continued in favour of respondent No.5 subject to the necessary deductions to be made from his salary, which are already being made till respondent No.5 is authorized to retain the said accommodation as per Rules governing the said aspect.

6. Keeping in view the above, the present petition is disposed of with the observation that the allotment of the house by the Punjab University in favour of respondent No.5 will not be cancelled by the University and the petitioner who is in occupation of the same, will be allowed to continue in the said accommodation till respondent No.5 is legally entitled to retain the said accommodation while in the service of respondent-University with the option that respondent No.5 is also fully entitled to stay in that house in case he feels along with his family.

February 04, 2025*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No