



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

139

CRWP-7218-2025

Date of decision: July 08, 2025

BHAWNA AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Deshpreet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who apprehend threat to their life at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6, who are stated to be family members of petitioner No.1.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1, aged 18 years and petitioner No.2, aged 21 years, got married on 04.07.2025, against the wishes of family members of petitioner No.1, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 6. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation dated 04.07.2025 (Annexure P-5) was also made to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka for protection of their life and liberty but in vain. Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of

**CRWP-7218-2025****-2-**

Police, Fazilka to look into their representation (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. H.S. Deol, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.4 and 5 and filed his Power of Attorney in the Court today, which is taken on record.

6. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, to look into the representation dated 04.07.2025 (Annexure P-5) *qua* the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondent. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

July 08, 2025
Jaspreet Kaur

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*