

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

221

CRM-M-35444-2025
Date of decision: 14.07.2025

HARVINDER SINGH ALIAS DEEPUPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Ruhani Chadha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
HARVINDER SINGH ALIAS DEEPU	209	24.10.2024	115(2), 118(1), 191(3), 190, 324 (4), 351(2) of BNS (Section 118(2) of BNS added later on)	Beas	Amritsar Rural

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner reads out the vernacular of the FIR and submits that petitioner is attributed a datar blow on his right leg of injured Ravijot Singh. In fact, there is no injury on the right leg, corroborating the factual allegations mentioned in FIR. Injured Ravijot Singh has suffered total 05 injuries



- 2-

and 03 of the injuries are already declared simple and injuries No.2 and 4 have been declared grievous. In fact, injury No.2 which is found to be grievous is on the left leg below the knee and injury No.4 is on little finger of left hand of injured Ravijot Singh.

3. Thus, counsel contends that infact, there being no injury on the right leg of injured, his involvement seems to be highly doubtful. Moreover, petitioner has already undergone incarceration period of more than 04 months inside jail, thus prays for grant of regular bail.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner on examining the facts from record is unable to dispute the factual averment, though submits that may be inadvertently, complainant mentioned it as injury on right leg instead of left leg.

5. Learned State counsel is unable to controvert the submission that petitioner has already suffered incarceration of more than 04 years and the offences are triable by the Court of Magistrate and involvement of the petitioner is still to be established by the prosecution.

However, he vehemently submits that if the petitioner is granted concession of bail, there is every likelihood of him being absconding from the trial and again can indulge in similar kind of activities.

7. This Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties concerned, and has also gone through the record before it.

8. As per the totality of circumstances, and the facts/allegations levelled against the petitioner, and the factors noticed hereinabove, I deem it appropriate to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

9. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

10. Any of the discussion done and recorded hereabove, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of

evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.

11. Petition stands disposed of.

14.07.2025
amandeep

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No